

# Revisiting the dyonic Majumdar-Papapetrou black holes

S. Habib Mazharimousavi\* and M. Halilsoy†

*Department of Physics, Eastern Mediterranean University,*

*G. Magusa, North Cyprus, Mersin 10 - Turkey.*

## Abstract

We extend the Majumdar-Papapetrou (MP) solution of the Einstein-Maxwell (EM) equations which is implied generally for static electric charge in non-rotating metrics to encompass equally well magnetic charges. In the absence of Higgs and non-Abelian gauge fields, 'dyonic' is to be understood in this simpler sense. Cosmologically this may have far-reaching consequences, to the extent that existence of multi-magnetic monopole black holes may become a reality in our universe. Infalling charged particle geodesics may reveal, through particular integrals, their inner secrets which are screened from our observation.

---

\*Electronic address: habib.mazhari@emu.edu.tr

†Electronic address: mustafa.halilsoy@emu.edu.tr

## I. INTRODUCTION

A relatively simple, yet interesting class of Einstein-Maxwell (EM) solution was given long ago by Majumdar [1] and Papapetrou [2], which attracted attention in various contexts including that of multi-black holes. The isotropic form of the line element with all inclusive metric function, which determines also the static electric potential makes this solution unique among EM solutions known to date. The metric function that generates the space time satisfies the Laplace equation. The linearity of this latter equation leads automatically to the multi-center solutions at equal ease. Each centre satisfies all the requirements necessary for black holes and as a matter of fact the multi-centre solution can be interpreted as a multi-black hole solution - which is otherwise extremely difficult to obtain analytically. For this accomplishment we are indebted to the Majumdar-Papapetrou (MP) form of the metric [3]. A significant extension of the MP solution was to include time dependence through a cosmological constant [4]. This latter form of the metric paved the way toward black hole / brane collisions in higher dimensions [5, 6].

In this paper we wish to contribute to the MP solution by adding magnetic charge alongside with the electric charge. To our knowledge, MP space time has been considered so far only with a static electric field described by the potential  $A_\mu = \delta_\mu^t A$ , in a diagonal metric. It is known that inclusion of rotation creates natural magnetic fields from the static electric charges [7]. Yet, by remaining in the static, non-rotating metric and adding a magnetic charge to the electric charge - i.e., a dyon - seems escaped from attentions. Let us note that this should not be confused with solutions such as Reissner-Nordström (RN) in which magnetic and electric charges are treated on equal footing. Our magnetic charge lacks spherical symmetry since one of the axis (i.e. the z-axis) is singled out which is more apt for multiple axial superposition. The - dyonic-black holes consist of both electric and magnetic charges coupled together. Radial geodesic analysis of electrically charged particles infalling such black holes will exhibit different behaviors to aid in detection of such magnetic black holes. Under the light of such magnetic objects the natural question arises: Do such black holes serve as the storage of magnetic monopoles which are elusive in our observable universe?

Although it is a matter of formality to extend our results to arbitrary number of black holes and to higher dimensions, we shall restrict ourselves to 4-dimensions and consider the example of 2-centre black hole as an example. The problem of horizon smoothness for

multi-black holes, and the issue of stability are two of the problems that we shall not address in this paper.

Organization of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II we present the solution of EM equations with both electric and magnetic charges. Geodesic analysis follows for particular boundary /initial conditions. We extend our discussion, through perturbation, to the case of 2-black holes located along the z-axis. We complete the paper with Conclusion in Sec. IV.

## II. INTEGRATION OF THE EINSTEIN-MAXWELL EQUATIONS

We start with the Majumdar-Papapetrou line element in 4-dimensions [1, 2] given by

$$ds^2 = -\frac{1}{\Omega^2}dt^2 + \Omega^2 (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) \quad (1)$$

in which  $\Omega$  is a function of  $x, y, z$  and  $t$ . Our electromagnetic multi-centre potential ansatz is

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\epsilon}{\Omega}dt + \sum_i \frac{P_i(z - z_i)}{r_i [(x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2]} [(x - x_i)dy - (y - y_i)dx] \quad (2)$$

where

$$r_i = \sqrt{(x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2 + (z - z_i)^2},$$

$\epsilon$  is a constant such that  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$  and  $P_i$  stands for the magnetic charge of the  $i^{th}$  black hole. The electric charge  $Q_i$  of the  $i^{th}$  black hole will be defined below (Eq. 22). The electromagnetic field two-form is given by

$$\mathbf{F} = d\mathbf{A} = \epsilon \left( \frac{\Omega_x}{\Omega^2} dt dx + \frac{\Omega_y}{\Omega^2} dt dy + \frac{\Omega_z}{\Omega^2} dt dz \right) + \sum_i \frac{P_i}{r_i^3} [(x - x_i) dy dz + (y - y_i) dz dx + (z - z_i) dx dy] \quad (3)$$

with its dual

$$*\mathbf{F} = \epsilon (\Omega_x dy dz + \Omega_y dz dx + \Omega_z dx dy) + \sum_i \frac{P_i}{r_i^3 \Omega^2} [(x - x_i) dt dx + (y - y_i) dt dy + (z - z_i) dt dz] \quad (4)$$

in which  $\Omega_x, \Omega_y, \dots$  denote partial derivatives and  $dx^i dx^j$  implies wedge product. Concerning Maxwell's equations, we have

$$d(*\mathbf{F}) = 0 \quad (5)$$

leading to

$$\nabla^2 \Omega = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_i \frac{2P_i}{r_i^3} \right) ((y - y_i) \Omega_z - (z - z_i) \Omega_y) &= \epsilon \Omega_{xt}, \\ \left( \sum_i \frac{2P_i}{r_i^3} \right) ((z - z_i) \Omega_x - (x - x_i) \Omega_z) &= \epsilon \Omega_{yt}, \\ \left( \sum_i \frac{2P_i}{r_i^3} \right) ((x - x_i) \Omega_y - (y - y_i) \Omega_x) &= \epsilon \Omega_{xt}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega_{xt} = \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial x \partial t}$  and so on. Eq. (6) is the usual Laplace equation whose simplest solution can be written as

$$\Omega = \omega(t) + \sum_i \frac{C_i}{r_i} \quad (7)$$

in which  $\omega(t)$  is a function of time and  $C_i$  are constants to be identified. A substitution into the rest of Maxwell equations implies

$$\frac{\Omega_x}{\sum_i \frac{P_i}{r_i^3} (z - z_i)} = \frac{\Omega_y}{\sum_i \frac{P_i}{r_i^3} (y - y_i)} = \frac{\Omega_z}{\sum_i \frac{P_i}{r_i^3} (z - z_i)}, \quad (8)$$

which is easily satisfied provided  $C_i = \lambda P_i$  for a constant  $\lambda$ . From (3) and (7) we find the field tensor  $F_{\mu\nu}$  and the energy momentum-tensor as

$$T_\mu^\nu = 2F_{\mu\lambda}F^{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}F\delta_\mu^\nu \quad (9)$$

in which

$$F = F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} = -2(F_{tx}^2 + F_{ty}^2 + F_{tz}^2) + \frac{2}{\Omega^4}(F_{xy}^2 + F_{xz}^2 + F_{yz}^2), \quad (10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{xy} &= \sum_i \frac{P_i(z - z_i)}{r_i^3}, \quad F_{xz} = -\sum_i \frac{P_i(y - y_i)}{r_i^3}, \quad F_{yz} = \sum_i \frac{P_i(x - x_i)}{r_i^3} \\ F_{tx} &= \epsilon \frac{\Omega_x}{\Omega^2}, \quad F_{ty} = \epsilon \frac{\Omega_y}{\Omega^2}, \quad F_{tz} = \epsilon \frac{\Omega_z}{\Omega^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The non-zero components of  $T_\mu^\nu$  and  $G_\mu^\nu$  are tabulated in Appendix 1a and 1b, respectively. A solution to the Maxwell Equations (5) follows once we set (8) to a constant (say  $\lambda$ ) i.e.,

$$\frac{\Omega_x}{\sum_i \frac{P_i(x-x_i)}{r_i^3}} = \frac{\Omega_y}{\sum_i \frac{P_i(y-y_i)}{r_i^3}} = \frac{\Omega_z}{\sum_i \frac{P_i(z-z_i)}{r_i^3}} = \lambda \quad (12)$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_i \frac{P_i (x - x_i)}{r_i^3} = \frac{\Omega_x}{\lambda}, \quad (13)$$

$$\sum_i \frac{P_i (y - y_i)}{r_i^3} = \frac{\Omega_y}{\lambda}, \quad (14)$$

$$\sum_i \frac{P_i (z - z_i)}{r_i^3} = \frac{\Omega_z}{\lambda}. \quad (15)$$

Consequently, the field tensor components become

$$\begin{aligned} F_{xy} &= \frac{\Omega_z}{\lambda}, F_{xz} = -\frac{\Omega_y}{\lambda}, F_{yz} = \frac{\Omega_x}{\lambda}, \\ F_{tx} &= \epsilon \frac{\Omega_x}{\Omega^2}, F_{ty} = \epsilon \frac{\Omega_y}{\Omega^2}, F_{tz} = \epsilon \frac{\Omega_z}{\Omega^2} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and as a result the energy-momentum components take the form given in Appendix 1c.

One may also substitute (from the Appendix) into the  $tt$  component of the Einstein's equation with the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_t^t &= G_t^t + \Lambda \rightarrow \\ -\frac{1}{\Omega^4} \left( \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) (\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_y^2 + \Omega_z^2) &= \frac{1}{\Omega^4} (2\Omega \nabla^2 \Omega - (\nabla \Omega)^2 - 3\Omega^4 \Omega_t^2) + \Lambda \rightarrow \\ -\frac{1}{\Omega^4} \left( \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) (\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_y^2 + \Omega_z^2) &= -\frac{1}{\Omega^4} (\nabla \Omega)^2 - 3\Omega_t^2 + \Lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

This is satisfied if we make the choices

$$\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} = 1 \quad (18)$$

and

$$3\Omega_t^2 = \Lambda. \quad (19)$$

The latter equation implies (from (7)) that

$$\omega(t) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} t + C_0 \quad (20)$$

with an integration constant  $C_0$  that is disposable with the choice of origin of time. The rest of the Einstein's equations turn out to be satisfied all, by virtue of (18) and (19). In conclusion, we obtain the solution as

$$\Omega = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} t + C_0 + \sum_i \frac{P_i \lambda}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i|} \quad (21)$$

which clearly for  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_i$  we have the location of the  $i^{th}$  black hole with effective charge and mass equal to  $|P_i\lambda|$ . With reference to our potential ansatz (2), we observe that for  $\epsilon = 0$  we have the pure magnetic charge  $P_i = m_i$  (mass). To define the electric charge  $Q_i$  we integrate the Maxwell equation in accordance with

$$\oint \vec{E}_i \cdot d\vec{A}_i = 4\pi Q_i \quad (22)$$

over the  $i^{th}$  sphere to get  $Q_i = \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{1-\epsilon^2}} P_i$ . It is clear from this definition that for  $\epsilon = 1$  we must take  $P_i \rightarrow 0$  to have a meaningful electric charge, this is indeed the case as given in the sequel. For a single black hole it is just the extremal Reissner-Nordström (RN) black hole solution, as expected. A similar integral to (22) for the magnetic field reveals also that  $P_i$  stands for the magnetic charges. From the balancing gravitational and electromagnetic force the electric / magnetic charge are proportional to mass in accordance with

$$Q_i = m_i \epsilon, \quad (23)$$

$$P_i = m_i \sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2}, \quad (24)$$

so that

$$Q_i^2 + P_i^2 = m_i^2. \quad (25)$$

### III. GEODESIC EQUATION OF AN ELECTRICALLY CHARGED TEST PARTICLE

In this section we seek for a solution to the geodesic equations of a test charge inside the field of a single static black hole located at the origin and for simplicity we shall assume  $\Lambda = 0$ ,  $C_0 = 1$ . The line element is given by

$$ds^2 = -\frac{1}{\Omega^2} dt^2 + \Omega^2 (dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)), \quad (26)$$

where

$$\Omega = 1 + \frac{m}{r} \quad (27)$$

and  $m = \lambda P = Q/\epsilon$ . The Lagrangian for a test particle with electric charge  $q$  and unit mass is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\dot{t}^2}{2\Omega^2} + \frac{\Omega^2}{2} \left[ \dot{r}^2 + r^2 (\dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi}^2) \right] + \frac{q\epsilon}{\Omega} \dot{t} + qP \cos \theta \dot{\phi}, \quad (28)$$

in which a 'dot' stands for derivative with respect to the proper time  $\tau$ . This Lagrangian implies the following equations, and first integrals

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\dot{t}}{\Omega^2} + \frac{q\epsilon}{\Omega} &= \alpha_0, \\
\Omega^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi} + qP \cos \theta &= \beta_0, \\
\ddot{r} + \frac{\Omega'}{\Omega} \dot{r}^2 &= -\frac{\alpha_0 \Omega'}{\Omega^2} (q\epsilon - \alpha_0 \Omega) + \frac{r}{\Omega} \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{(qP)^2}{r^3 \Omega^5 \sin^2 \theta} (\beta - \cos \theta)^2, \\
\frac{d}{d\tau} (r^2 \Omega^2 \dot{\theta}) &= \frac{(qP)^2}{r^2 \Omega^2 \sin^3 \theta} (\beta - \cos \theta) (\beta \cos \theta - 1), \\
\left( \Omega' = \frac{d\Omega}{dr} \right), &
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where  $\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0$  are two integration constants related to energy, angular momentum and the constant  $\beta$  is defined by  $\beta = \frac{\beta_0}{qP}$ .

We start with the  $\theta$  equation, by setting  $\theta = \theta_0$ . This leads to two different cases:

**A.**  $\beta = \cos \theta_0, (0 < \theta_0 < \frac{\pi}{2})$

By taking  $\beta = \cos \theta_0$  and  $\theta = \theta_0$  one easily finds  $\theta$  equation is satisfied and  $\phi$  equation requires either  $\theta_0 = 0$  or  $\dot{\phi} = 0$ . Here we exclude the case of  $\theta_0 = 0$  and accept  $\dot{\phi} = 0$ . The  $r$  equation reduces to

$$\ddot{r} + \frac{\Omega'}{\Omega} \dot{r}^2 = -\frac{\alpha_0 \Omega'}{\Omega^2} (q\epsilon - \alpha_0 \Omega). \tag{30}$$

The latter equation yields the following non-linear differential equations.

### 1. Case of $\alpha_0 = 0$

A specific analytical solution can be found by setting  $\alpha_0 = 0$ . This choice leads to

$$\ddot{r} + \frac{\Omega'}{\Omega} \dot{r}^2 = 0 \tag{31}$$

which reveals

$$r(\tau) = m \text{LambertW}(e^{A\tau+B}) \tag{32}$$

in terms of the LambertW(.) function [8]. The constants  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  can be fixed so that  $r(\tau) = 0$  is reached in a finite proper time. The ordinary time  $t$  is also expressed in terms

of the  $\tau$  by

$$t(\tau) = \frac{q\epsilon}{A} \left( \text{LambertW}(e^{A\tau+B}) - \frac{1}{\text{LambertW}(e^{A\tau+B})} + 2 \ln(\text{LambertW}(e^{A\tau+B})) \right) + C \quad (33)$$

in which  $C$  is another integration constant. In terms of the coordinate time  $t$ ,  $x(t)$  satisfies the differential equation

$$x(1+x) \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} - 2 \left( \frac{dx}{dt} \right)^2 = 0 \quad (34)$$

which can be studied numerically.

## 2. Case of $\alpha_0 \neq 0$

For the general case of  $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ , we introduce the new parameters

$$r = mx, \quad q\epsilon = m(\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}), \quad \alpha_0 = m\mathcal{A}, \quad (35)$$

into the Eq. (30) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x} + \frac{\Omega'}{\Omega} \dot{x}^2 &= -\frac{\Omega'}{\Omega^2} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}\Omega) \rightarrow \\ x(x+1)^2 \ddot{x} - (x+1) \dot{x}^2 &= \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}x - \mathcal{A}). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

With particular boundary conditions we plot  $x(\tau)$  in Fig. 1a directly from this differential equation. Now, in order to obtain a particular solution we introduce the ansatz (from the analogy of a velocity dependent potential)

$$\dot{x}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k \quad (37)$$

which leads to

$$\ddot{x} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} k a_k x^{k-1}. \quad (38)$$

By substitution into (36) we get

$$a_0 = \mathcal{A}^2, \quad a_1 = -2\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}), \quad a_k = -(2a_{k-1} + a_{k-2}) = (-1)^{k+1} [(k-1)a_0 + ka_1], \quad (39)$$

which imply

$$\dot{x}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} [(k-1)a_0 + ka_1] x^k = a_0 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} (k-1) x^k + a_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} k x^k = \quad (40)$$

$$a_0 \left( 1 - \left( \frac{x}{1+x} \right)^2 \right) + a_1 \left( \frac{x}{(1+x)^2} \right) = \frac{[(1+2x)a_0 + xa_1]}{(1+x)^2}.$$



This easily gives

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\pm 1}{(1+x)} \sqrt{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A} - 2\mathcal{B}x)} \quad (41)$$

and therefore

$$\tau + C_1 = \frac{\pm 1}{3\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}^2} (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}(3+x)) \sqrt{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A} - 2\mathcal{B}x)} \quad (42)$$

$$(C_1 = \text{constant}). \quad (43)$$

This gives the relation between the proper time and the position of particle for any value of  $\alpha_0$ . Next, by using the  $t$  component of the geodesic equation we find

$$(2q\epsilon - 3\alpha_0\Omega) \Omega' \left( \frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 + \Omega (q\epsilon - \alpha_0\Omega) \frac{d^2r}{dt^2} = -\alpha_0 \frac{\Omega'}{\Omega^3}, \quad (44)$$

where  $\Omega$  is still given by (27). Here also we rescale our variables as

$$r = mx, \quad q\epsilon = m(\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}), \quad \alpha_0 = m\mathcal{A}, \quad t = m\tilde{t} \quad (45)$$

to get

$$x(1+x)^4 (\mathcal{B}x - \mathcal{A}) \frac{d^2x}{d\tilde{t}^2} - (1+x)^3 ((2\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{A})x - 3\mathcal{A}) \left( \frac{dx}{d\tilde{t}} \right)^2 = \mathcal{A}x^4 \quad (46)$$

which has the exact solution

$$\pm\tilde{t} + C_2 = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{\mathcal{A}}} \sqrt{\mathcal{A} - 2\mathcal{B}x} \left( \frac{3}{x} - 6 - x + \frac{2\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{B}} \right) + 2 \ln \left| \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{A}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{A} - 2\mathcal{B}x}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{A}} - \sqrt{\mathcal{A} - 2\mathcal{B}x}} \right|, \quad (47)$$

$$(\mathcal{A} \neq 0), \quad (\mathcal{A} > 2\mathcal{B}x), \quad (C_2 = \text{constant}).$$

We can easily observe that for  $x \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\tilde{t} \rightarrow \infty$  as expected for a distant observer; Fig. 1b reveals this fact.

### 3. The case of pure magnetic charge

The case of pure magnetic charge can be obtained by setting  $\epsilon = 0$ , or equivalently  $\mathcal{B} = -\mathcal{A}$ . This leads to

$$a_0 = \mathcal{A}^2, \quad a_1 = 0, \quad (48)$$

and therefore

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\pm |\mathcal{A}|}{1+x} \sqrt{1+2x}, \quad (49)$$

$$\tau + C_3 = \frac{\mp 1}{3|\mathcal{A}|} (2+x) \sqrt{1+2x} \quad (50)$$

$$(C_3 = \text{constant}). \quad (51)$$

The latter equation leads to

$$x(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt[3]{3\sigma + \sqrt{1+9\sigma}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{3\sigma + \sqrt{1+9\sigma}}} \right) \quad (52)$$

in which

$$\sigma = \pm |\mathcal{A}| \tau + C_3. \quad (53)$$

Eq. (45) becomes now

$$-x(1+x)^5 \frac{d^2 x}{d\tilde{t}^2} + 3(1+x)^4 \left( \frac{dx}{d\tilde{t}} \right)^2 = x^4 \quad (54)$$

with exact solution

$$\pm \tilde{t} + C_4 = \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{1+2x} \left( \frac{3}{x} - x - 8 \right) + 2 \ln \left| \frac{\sqrt{1+2x} + 1}{\sqrt{1+2x} - 1} \right|, \quad (55)$$

$$(C_4 = \text{constant}). \quad (56)$$

#### 4. The case of pure electrically charged black hole

By choosing  $\epsilon = 1$ ,  $P = 0$  in the Lagrangian (28) we obtain a reduced set of geodesics equations. The  $\dot{\theta} = 0$  case implies automatically that  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $\dot{\phi} = 0 = \beta_0$ . This is nothing but same as (30) with the additional condition of  $\epsilon = 1$ , and the resulting geodesics motion obtained above. Thus, in class-A geodesics,  $P = 0$  case doesn't show a significant difference from the  $P \neq 0$  case.

#### B. $\beta = 1/\cos \theta_0$ , ( $0 < \theta_0 < \frac{\pi}{2}$ )

After setting  $\theta = \theta_0$ , in order to solve  $\theta$  equation one can also choose  $\beta = 1/\cos \theta_0$ . This choice in  $\phi$  equation leads

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{qP}{\cos \theta_0} \frac{1}{\Omega^2 r^2}, \quad (57)$$

and  $r = mx$  equation reads

$$\ddot{r} + \frac{\Omega'}{\Omega} \dot{r}^2 = -\frac{\alpha_0 \Omega'}{\Omega^2} (q\epsilon - \alpha_0 \Omega) + \frac{(qP)^2}{r^3 \Omega^5} \tan^2 \theta_0. \quad (58)$$

This choice does not change the  $t$  equation. Now we use the same change of variables (35) together with

$$q = m\tilde{q}, P = m\tilde{P}, \left( \tilde{q}\tilde{P} \right)^2 \tan^2 \theta_0 = \mathcal{C}^2 \quad (59)$$

under which the  $r$  equation takes the form

$$\ddot{x} + \frac{\Omega'}{\Omega} \dot{x}^2 = -\frac{\Omega'}{\Omega^2} \mathcal{A} (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{A}\Omega) + \frac{\mathcal{C}^2}{x^3 \Omega^5} \quad (60)$$

where  $\Omega = 1 + \frac{1}{x}$ , and  $\Omega' = \partial_x \Omega$ . It is observed that the last term on the right hand side is a direct contribution of the magnetic charge with marked distinction from the pure electrically charged black hole case. We note that by some manipulation on the  $\phi$  equation, one gets

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{\tilde{q}\tilde{P}}{\cos \theta_0} \frac{1}{\Omega^2 x^2} = \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Omega^2 x^2} \quad (61)$$

where  $\mathcal{D} = \frac{\tilde{q}\tilde{P}}{\cos \theta_0}$ . By transforming the independent variable from the proper time  $\tau$  to the azimuthal angle  $\phi$  the orbit equation takes the form

$$x'' - \frac{(1+2x)}{x^2} \frac{x'^2}{\Omega} = \frac{x^2 \mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{D}^2} (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{A}\Omega) + \frac{\mathcal{C}^2}{\mathcal{D}^2} \frac{x}{\Omega}, \quad (62)$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{d^2 x(\phi)}{d\phi^2} - \frac{(1+2x)}{x(1+x)} \left( \frac{dx(\phi)}{d\phi} \right)^2 = \frac{x^2 \mathcal{A}}{(1+x) \mathcal{D}^2} (\mathcal{B}x - \mathcal{A}(1+x)) + \frac{\mathcal{C}^2}{\mathcal{D}^2} \frac{x^2}{(1+x)}. \quad (63)$$

Fig. 2 gives a numerical plot of  $x(\phi)$ , under the boundary conditions  $x(\phi)|_{\phi=0} = 1$  and  $\frac{dx(\phi)}{d\phi} \Big|_{\phi=0} = 0$ .

### C. Generalization to two-centre black holes

In this section we try to extend the result found for single black hole to double-black hole system. To do so we consider two identical black holes at  $(0, 0, h)$  and  $(0, 0, -h)$ , and the test particle is placed at a distance, far from the black holes such that one can write the metric function, up to the third order, as

$$\Omega = 1 + \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{mh^2(3\cos^2 \theta - 1)}{r^3} + O\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^4. \quad (64)$$

It is easily seen that for  $h \rightarrow 0$  the metric goes to the extremal RN black hole with both electric and magnetic charges and total mass  $2m$ , as it should. The Lagrangian of the system, up to the same order of approximation, from the potential (2) can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\dot{t}^2}{2\Omega^2} + \frac{\Omega^2}{2} \left[ \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \left( \dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi}^2 \right) \right] + \frac{q\epsilon}{\Omega} \dot{t} + qP \left[ \cos \theta \left( 2 - \frac{3h^2 \sin^2 \theta}{r^2} \right) + O\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^4 \right] \dot{\phi}. \quad (65)$$

This leads to the following geodesic equations (with integration constant  $\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0$ )

$$\dot{t} = (q\epsilon - \alpha_0\Omega)\Omega, \quad (66)$$

$$\Omega^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi} + qP \left[ \cos \theta \left( 2 - \frac{3h^2 \sin^2 \theta}{r^2} \right) + O\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^4 \right] = \beta_0, \quad (67)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \ddot{r} + 2\frac{\Omega_r}{\Omega} \dot{r}^2 \right) = & - (q\epsilon - \alpha_0\Omega) \frac{\Omega_r \alpha_0}{\Omega^2} + \frac{\Omega_r}{\Omega} \left[ \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \left( \dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi}^2 \right) \right] + \\ & r \left( \dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi}^2 \right) + \frac{qP}{\Omega^2} \left[ \cos \theta \left( \frac{6h^2 \sin^2 \theta}{r^3} \right) + O\left(\frac{h^4}{r^5}\right) \right] \dot{\phi}, \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( r^2 \Omega^2 \dot{\theta} \right) = & \frac{\dot{t}^2 \Omega_\theta}{\Omega^2} + \Omega \Omega_\theta \left[ \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \left( \dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi}^2 \right) \right] + \Omega^2 r^2 \left( \cos \theta \sin \theta \dot{\phi}^2 \right) - \\ & \frac{q\epsilon \Omega_\theta}{\Omega^2} \dot{t} + qP \left[ -\sin \theta \left( 2 + \frac{3h^2}{r^2} (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1) \right) + O\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^4 \right] \dot{\phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

From (63-66) it follows that

$$\dot{\phi} \simeq \frac{(\beta_0 - 2qP \cos \theta)}{\Omega^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta} + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{h}}{r}\right)^4, \quad (70)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( r^2 \Omega^2 \dot{\theta} \right) \simeq & \Omega_\theta \left( \left[ q\epsilon - \alpha_0\Omega - \frac{q\epsilon}{\Omega} \right] (q\epsilon - \alpha_0\Omega) + \Omega \left[ \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \left( \dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi}^2 \right) \right] \right) + \\ & \frac{(qP)^2}{\Omega^2 r^2 \sin^3 \theta} \left( \beta \cos \theta - 2 - \frac{3h^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\theta}{r^2} \right) \left( \beta - 2 \cos \theta + \frac{3h^2 \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta}{r^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

$$\Omega_\theta = -6 \frac{mh^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta}{r^3} + O\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^4, \quad (72)$$

$$\Omega_r = -\frac{2m}{r^2} - \frac{3mh^2 (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1)}{r^4} + O\left(\frac{h^4}{r^5}\right) \quad (73)$$

so that the latter expressions satisfy the integrability condition,  $\Omega_{\theta r} = \Omega_{r\theta}$  within the range of approximation. We choose now, similar to the first case of single black hole case, the particular angles

$$\dot{\theta} = 0 \rightarrow \theta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (74)$$

$$\dot{\phi} = 0 \rightarrow \phi = \phi_0,$$

which give

$$\Omega_\theta \simeq 0, \quad (75)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r & \simeq -\frac{2m}{r^2} + \frac{3mh^2}{r^4} \\ \Omega & \simeq 1 + \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{mh^2}{r^3}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that the  $\phi$  and  $\theta$  parts of the equations are trivially satisfied (by considering the approximation up to the third order) and the two remaining equations, i.e.,  $r$  and  $t$  parts reduce to the same set of differential equations which were solved in the previous section, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\dot{t}}{\Omega^2} + \frac{q\epsilon}{\Omega} &\simeq \alpha_0, \\ \beta_0 &\simeq 0 \\ \left( \ddot{r} + \frac{\Omega_r}{\Omega} \dot{r}^2 \right) &\simeq -(q\epsilon - \alpha_0 \Omega) \frac{\Omega_r \alpha_0}{\Omega^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

It should be noted also that here the problem yields a different solution, because the metric function is different. Another special choice of interest to be considered here is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\theta} &= 0 \rightarrow \theta = 0, \\ \dot{\phi} &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

which implies

$$\Omega_\theta \simeq 0, \tag{78}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r &\simeq -\frac{2m}{r^2} - \frac{6mh^2}{r^4} \\ \Omega &\simeq 1 + \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{2mh^2}{r^3}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\dot{t}}{\Omega^2} + \frac{q\epsilon}{\Omega} &= \alpha_0, \\ 2qP &= \beta_0, \\ \left( \ddot{r} + \frac{\Omega_r}{\Omega} \dot{r}^2 \right) &= -(q\epsilon - \alpha_0 \Omega) \frac{\Omega_r \alpha_0}{\Omega^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

These equations also make almost same set of equations as before. Let us add that generalization to multi-coaxial black hole case (say, along the  $z$ -axis) can be treated more appropriately in the cylindrical polar coordinates. In these coordinates the electro magnetic potential ansatz takes the form

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\epsilon}{\Omega} dt + \sum_i \frac{P_i(z - z_i)}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_i)^2}} d\phi \tag{80}$$

with

$$\Omega = 1 + \sum_i \frac{m_i}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_i)^2}} \tag{81}$$

and the constraint reads as before, namely

$$\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} = 1,$$

$$m_i = |\lambda P_i| = \frac{Q_i}{\epsilon}.$$

This describes an infinite array of MP black holes, each at  $z = z_i$ , with coupled electric and magnetic charges, and the line element is given by (1).

#### IV. CONCLUSION

We extend the electrically charged MP black holes to the dyonic case which possesses both electric ( $Q_i$ ) and magnetic ( $P_i$ ) charges. Superposition principle provides us multi-black holes where the mass ( $m_i$ ) of each black hole satisfies  $P_i^2 + Q_i^2 = m_i^2$ . The charges are scaled by a parameter  $\epsilon$  ( $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ ) which regulates the effective charges of both types. Under such restriction only we were able to obtain such dyonic solutions. In order to find the interior charge content of the black hole we provide a detailed analysis of geodesics. Exact particular integrals are available in some cases but for the general treatment we resort to the numerical integration and two-dimensional plots. The orbit equation reveals also the hovering of a test charge around a dyonic black hole. By a detailed analysis it seems possible that we may identify the charge constituent of a MP black hole. In a more heuristic argument a magnetically charged black hole may be identified as a magnetic monopole which, so far has not been detected in our observable universe. As a final remark we wish to add that with the inclusion of time in the metric a' la [4] collision problem of magnetic MP black holes can be investigated.

- 
- [1] S. D. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. **72**, 390 (1947).
  - [2] A. Papapetrou, Proc. R. Ir. Acad., A Math. Phys. Sci. **A51**, 191 (1947).
  - [3] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. phys. **26**, 87 (1972).
  - [4] D. Kastor and J. H. Traschen, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 5370 (1993).
  - [5] D. R. Brill, G. T. Horowitz, D. Kastor and J. H. Traschen, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 840 (1994).
  - [6] G. W. Gibbons, H. Lü and C. N. Pope Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 131602 (2005).
  - [7] W. Israel and G. A. Wilson, J. Math. Phys. **13**, 865 (1972).

- [8] R.M. Corless, G.H. Gonnet, D.E.G. Hare, D.J. Jeffrey, D.E. Knuth. Adv. Comput. Math. **5**, 329 (1996) .

### Appendix:

Regarding the MP line element, the non-zero energy momentum tensor and Einstein's tensor components are

$$\begin{aligned}
T_t^t &= - (F_{tx}^2 + F_{ty}^2 + F_{tz}^2) - \frac{1}{\Omega^4} [(F_{xy}^2 + F_{xz}^2 + F_{yz}^2)], \\
T_x^x &= (-F_{tx}^2 + F_{ty}^2 + F_{tz}^2) + \frac{1}{\Omega^4} (F_{xy}^2 + F_{xz}^2 - F_{yz}^2), \\
T_y^y &= (F_{tx}^2 - F_{ty}^2 + F_{tz}^2) + \frac{1}{\Omega^4} (F_{xy}^2 - F_{xz}^2 + F_{yz}^2), \\
T_z^z &= (F_{tx}^2 + F_{ty}^2 - F_{tz}^2) + \frac{1}{\Omega^4} (-F_{xy}^2 + F_{xz}^2 + F_{yz}^2), \\
T_x^y &= T_y^x = -2F_{tx}F_{ty} + \frac{2}{\Omega^4} (F_{xz}F_{yz}), \\
T_x^z &= T_z^x = -2F_{tx}F_{tz} + \frac{2}{\Omega^4} (F_{xy}F_{zy}), \\
T_y^z &= T_z^y = -2F_{ty}F_{tz} + \frac{2}{\Omega^4} (F_{yx}F_{zx}).
\end{aligned} \tag{1a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_t^t &= \frac{1}{\Omega^4} (2\Omega\nabla^2\Omega - (\nabla\Omega)^2 - 3\Omega^4\Omega_t^2), \\
G_x^x &= \frac{\Omega_y^2 + \Omega_z^2 - \Omega_x^2 - 2\Omega^5\Omega_{tt}}{\Omega^4}, \\
G_y^y &= \frac{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_z^2 - \Omega_y^2 - 2\Omega^5\Omega_{tt}}{\Omega^4}, \\
G_z^z &= \frac{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_y^2 - \Omega_z^2 - 2\Omega^5\Omega_{tt}}{\Omega^4}, \\
G_x^y &= G_y^x = -2\frac{\Omega_x\Omega_y}{\Omega^4}, \\
G_x^z &= G_z^x = -2\frac{\Omega_x\Omega_z}{\Omega^4}, \\
G_y^z &= G_z^y = -2\frac{\Omega_y\Omega_z}{\Omega^4}, \\
G_t^i &= -2\frac{\Omega_{it}}{\Omega^3}, \quad G_i^t = 2\Omega\Omega_{it}
\end{aligned} \tag{1b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_t^t &= - \left( \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) \frac{(\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_y^2 + \Omega_z^2)}{\Omega^4}, \\
T_x^x &= \left( \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) \frac{(-\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_y^2 + \Omega_z^2)}{\Omega^4}, \\
T_y^y &= \left( \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) \frac{(\Omega_x^2 - \Omega_y^2 + \Omega_z^2)}{\Omega^4}, \\
T_z^z &= \left( \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) \frac{(\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_y^2 - \Omega_z^2)}{\Omega^4}, \\
T_x^y &= T_y^x = - \left( \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) \frac{2\Omega_x\Omega_y}{\Omega^4}, \\
T_x^z &= T_z^x = - \left( \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) \frac{2\Omega_x\Omega_z}{\Omega^4}, \\
T_y^z &= T_z^y = - \left( \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) \frac{2\Omega_y\Omega_z}{\Omega^4},
\end{aligned} \tag{1c}$$

**Figure captions:**

Fig 1a: Freely falling charged particle into the dyonic black hole as a function of proper time. In a finite proper time the particle reaches the horizon, as expected. With the magnetic charge on the black hole, the test particle plunges into the black hole in a shorter proper time. The infall gets delayed for a weaker magnetic charge.

Fig 1b: The free fall motion of a test charge is observed from a far distance. It takes an infinite coordinate time to reach the horizon and the magnetic charge has little effect in the process.

Fig 2: The oscillatory motion of a test charge around a dyonic black hole.  $x(\phi)(=r(\phi))$  is plotted versus the azimuthal angle. Our boundary conditions are such that  $x(\phi=0)=1$  and  $\frac{dx}{d\phi}\Big|_{\phi=0}=0$ , the rest is determined by the differential equation of orbit. An exact solution, which is not at our disposal, should definitely reveal much more than our numerical analysis. The effect of the magnetic charge on the behavior of the test particle is evidently visible.



This figure "Fig1a.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/1003.5108v1>

This figure "Fig1b.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/1003.5108v1>

This figure "Fig2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/1003.5108v1>